## DUTCH PEERS (TWENTE II): A Prospective, Randomized, "All-Comers" Trial of Third-Generation Zotarolimus-Eluting vs. Everolimus-Eluting Coronary Stents



<u>Clemens von Birgelen</u><sup>1,2</sup>, Hanim Sen<sup>1</sup>, Ming Kai Lam<sup>1</sup>, Peter W. Danse<sup>3</sup>, Gillian A.J. Jessurun<sup>4</sup>, Raymond W.M. Hautvast<sup>5</sup>, K. Gert van Houwelingen<sup>1</sup>, Alexander R. Schramm<sup>4</sup>, R. Melvyn Tjon Joe Gin<sup>3</sup>, J.(Hans) W. Louwerenburg<sup>1</sup>, Frits H.A.F. de Man<sup>1</sup>, Martin G. Stoel<sup>1</sup>, Marije M. Löwik<sup>1</sup>, Gerard C.M. Linssen<sup>6</sup>, Salah A.M. Saïd<sup>6</sup>, Mark B. Nienhuis<sup>7</sup>, Patrick M.J. Verhorst<sup>1</sup>, Mounir W.Z. Basalus<sup>1</sup>, Carine J.M. Doggen<sup>2</sup>, Kenneth Tandjung<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Thoraxcentrum Twente, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Dpt. of Cardiology, Enschede, <sup>2</sup>Dpt. of Health Technology and Services Research, University of Twente, Enschede, <sup>3</sup>Rijnstate Hospital, Dpt. of Cardiology, Arnhem, <sup>4</sup>Scheper Hospital, Dpt. of Cardiology, Emmen, <sup>5</sup>Medical Center Alkmaar, Dpt. of Cardiology, Alkmaar, <sup>6</sup>Hospital Group Twente, Dpt. of Cardiology, Almelo and Hengelo, <sup>7</sup>Queen Beatrix Hospital, Dpt. of Cardiology, Winterswijk, the Netherlands







#### **Disclosure Statement**

#### C. von Birgelen, MD PhD

- ✓ Institutional Research Grant: Abbott Vascular, Biotronik, Boston Scientific, Medtronic
- ✓ Consultancy: Abbott Vascular, Boston Scientific, Medtronic
- ✓ Travelling Expenses: *Biotronik*
- ✓ Speakers Honorarium: *Biotronik and MSD*
- Major Stock Shareholder / Equity
- Royalty Income
- Ownership / Founder
- Intellectual Property Rights
- Other Financial Benefit









## Background



- Second-generation drug-eluting stents (DES) with biocompatible durable coatings are efficacious and safe.
- Third-generation durable coating DES use the same coatings but have novel stent platforms with more flexible designs. They may be delivered more easily in complex lesions but might be longitudinally less stable.
- Outcome data for Promus Element were published, but no such data were available for Resolute Integrity.
- We investigated in all-comers whether clinical outcome is similar following randomized use of both DES.









## **Study Devices**



	RESOLUTE INTEGRITY	PROMUS ELEMENT		
Polymer component	BioLinx <sup>®</sup> , a blend of hydrophobic C10 polymer, hydrophilic C19 polymer & poly-vinyl pyrrolidone	Fluoropolymer coating		
Thickness of coating layer	5.6 µm	7 μm		
Antiproliferative drug	Zotarolimus	Everolimus		
Drug release period	180 days	120 days		
Material of metal stent platform	Cobalt-chromium	Platinum-chromium		
Strut thickness of metal stent platform	91 µm	81 μm		
Stent manufacturer	Medtronic	Boston Scientific		



Tandjung et al. DUTCH PEERS: Study design and rationale. Am Heart J 2012; 163: 557-62







#### DUTCH PEERS (TWENTE II)





Secondary endpoints Components of primary endpoint; stent thrombosis; patient oriented composite endpoint

Enrollment: November 25, 2010 to May 24, 2012. Systematic (serial) assessment of cardiac markers and ECG. Monitoring of informed consent and key demographic data in all patients; monitoring of data on potential clinical events in patients with event triggers; in-depth monitoring of all data in 10% of randomly chosen patients. Monitoring performed by CRO Diagram, Zwolle, NL. Processing of clinical outcome data and independent external adjudication of clinical events (CEC) by CRO Cardialysis, Rotterdam, NL.

Control angiography only if clinically indicated. Analyses based on intention-to-treat.



Tandjung *et al.* DUTCH PEERS: Study design and rationale. *Am Heart J* 2012;163:557-62. von Birgelen *et al.* DUTCH PEERS. *Lancet* – in press.





Investigator-initiated study, equally funded by Boston Scientific and Medtronic



# **Eligibility of Patients**



#### **Inclusion Criteria**

- Indication for DES use
- Age ≥ 18 years
- Signed informed consent
- Willing to comply with study and
  - follow-up procedures

#### **Exclusion Criteria**

- Participation in drug or device RCT
- Life expectancy < 1 year
- Planned surgery < 6 months of PCI unless DAPT was maintained
- Known pregnancy
- Intolerance to heparin, ASA, clopidogrel, or DES components









## Power Calculation of Primary Clinical Endpoint



**Target Vessel Failure at 12 Months** 

A composite of cardiac death, target vessel-related MI, and clinically driven target vessel revascularization

- Event rates at 12 months would be equal in both groups
- Non-inferiority margin of 3.6%, expecting 10% events based on data of Resolute All-Comers, at a one-sided type-I error of 0.05

1788 patients would yield 80% power to detect non-inferiority









### **Study Flow Diagram**





- 56% of eligible patients enrolled
- Follow-up data obtained in 99.9% of patients



\* Patients treated during study enrollment; \*\* One patient withdrew consent. Monitoring was performed by CRO Diagram, Zwolle, NL. Data entry by CRO CardioResearch Enschede, Enschede, NL. Independent clinical event adjudication (CEC) was performed by CRO Cardialysis, Rotterdam, NL. Analyses were based on intention-to-treat.







#### **Patient Characteristics**



	Resolute Integrity n = 906 pts.	Promus Element n = 905 pts.	Р
Age (yrs)	64 (56-72)	65 (57-72)	0.86
Men	665 (73.4)	657 (72.6)	0.70
BMI (kg/m²)	27.1 (25.0-30.0)	27.2 (24.9-30.5)	0.48
Diabetes mellitus	167 (18.4)	157 (17.3)	0.55
Chronic renal failure*	35 (3.9)	28 (3.1)	0.37
Arterial hypertension	500 (55.2)	484 (53.5)	0.47
Hypercholesterolemia	418 (46.1)	430 (47.5)	0.56
Current smoker	213 (23.6)	231 (25.5)	0.61
Family history of CAD	452 (50.1)	451 (49.9)	0.98
Previous MI	207 (22.8)	190 (21.0)	0.34
Previous PCI	182 (20.1)	167 (18.5)	0.38
Previous CABG	84 (9.3)	89 (9.8)	0.68
Clinical indication			0.07
Stable angina	372 (41.1)	377 (41.7)	
Unstable angina	113 (12.5)	132 (14.6)	
Non-ST-elevation MI (NSTEMI)	246 (27.2)	201 (22.2)	
ST-elevation MI (STEMI)	175 (19.3)	195 (21.5)	
Left ventricular ejection fraction < 30%	15 (1.7)	13 (1.4)	0.70



Data are frequencies (%) or mean (SD). No significant difference between study groups. \* Serum creatinine level  $\ge$  130 µmol/L.







#### **Lesion Characteristics**



	Resolute Integrity n = 1205 lesions	Promus Element n = 1166 lesions	Р	
Target lesion coronary artery				
Left main	19 (1.6)	21 (1.8)	0.67	
Left anterior descending	493 (40.9)	469 (40.2)	0.73	
Left circumflex	304 (25.2)	280 (24.0)	0.49	
Right coronary artery	378 (31.4)	379 (32.5)	0.55	
Bypass graft	30 (2.5)	35 (3.0)	0.45	
ACC-AHA lesion class			0.99	
A/B1	412 (34.2)	401 (34.4)		
B2/C	793 (65.8)	765 (65.6)		
De novo lesion	1147 (95.2)	1103 (94.6)	0.51	
Chronic total occlusion	38 (3.2)	39 (3.3)	0.79	
In stent restenosis	28 (2.3)	28 (2.4)	0.90	
Aorta ostial lesion	59 (4.9)	65 (5.6)	0.46	
Severe calcification	221 (18.3)	251 (21.5)	0.052	
Bifurcated lesion	282 (23.4)	249 (21.4)	0.23	
Thrombus present	165 (13.7)	174 (14.9)	0.40	
Total occlusion	167 (13.9)	153 (13.1)	0.60	
Pre-procedural TIMI flow grade			0.86	
0	175 (14.5)	155 (13.3)		
1	40 (3.3)	39 (3.3)		
2	128 (10.6)	125 (10.7)		
3	862 (71.5)	847 (72.6)		



Data are frequencies (%) or mean (SD). No significant difference between study groups. \* Thrombus triggering use of thrombus aspiration catheter.





# **Procedural Data (Patient-based)**



	Resolute Integrity n = 906 pts.	Promus Element n = 905 pts.	Р
Total number of lesions treated per patient			0.32
1 lesion treated	668 (73.7)	688 (76.0)	
2 lesions treated	191 (21.1)	182 (20.1)	
3 or more lesions treated	47 (5.2)	35 (3.9)	
Only de novo coronary lesions treated*	817 (90.2)	810 (89.5)	0.64
At least one chronic total occlusion treated	38 (4.2)	38 (4.2)	1.00
At least one bifurcation lesion treated	244 (26.9)	221 (24.4)	0.22
At least one in-stent restenosis treated	27 (3.0)	28 (3.1)	0.89
At least one small-vessel (RVD < 2.75 mm) tr.	551 (60.8)	517 (57.1)	0.11
At least one long lesion (> 27 mm) treated	161 (17.8)	157 (17.3)	0.81
Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa antagonist administered	262 (28.9)	259 (28.6)	0.89



Data are frequencies (%). No significant difference between study groups. \* Including chronic total occlusion, but not grafts or in-stent restenosis.







# **Procedural Data (Lesion-based)**



	Resolute Integrity n = 1205 lesions	Promus Element n = 1166 lesions	Р
Lesion length (mm)	13.63 (9.58-20.41)	13.46 (9.56-20.68)	0.74
Diameter of reference vessel (mm)	2.64 (2.25-3.06)	2.66 (2.27-3.07)	0.28
Minimum lumen diameter (mm)	0.88 (0.63-1.18)	0.88 (0.61-1.23)	0.77
Stenosis (lumen diameter, %)	65.25 (53.83-75.84)	64.48 (53.92-76.17)	0.91
Postprocedure minimum lumen diameter (mm)	2.22 (1.80-2.64)	2.15(1.78-2.58)	0.06
Postprocedure stenosis (lumen diameter, %)	15.07 (10.58-21.17)	15.73 (10.86-21.63)	0.24
Acute gain in segment (mm)	1.27 (0.85-1.78)	1.24(0.79-1.77)	0.38
Number of stents implanted per patient	1.80 (1.08)	1.76 (1.10)	0.41
Number of stents implanted per lesion	1.35 (0.68)	1.36 (0.70)	0.70
Total stent length (mm) per patient	30 (18-50)	28 (20-48)	0.64
Total stent length (mm) per lesion	22 (18-36)	24 (16-38)	0.10
Direct stenting	352 (29.2)	326 (28.0)	0.50
Postdilatation	887 (73.6)	920 (78.9)	0.002
Maximum stent diameter per lesion (mm)	3.00 (2.50-3.50)	3.00 (2.50-3.50)	0.09
Implantation of study stents only	1195 (99.2)	1161 (99.6)	0.22
Device success	1194 (99.1)	1158 (99.3)	0.54
Lesion success	1203 (99.8)	1162 (99.7)	0.39
Procedure success	884 (97.6)	890 (98.3)	0.25



Data are frequencies (%) or median (IQR).





# Primary Endpoint Target Vessel Failure at 1-Year Follow-up



Non-inferiority margin = 3.6 %

Resolute Integrity 6.1 %	Promus Element 5.2 %					
Absolute difference = 0.88 %						
Upper 1-sided 95% CI = 2.69 %						
P <sub>non-inferiority</sub> = 0.006						







#### **Primary Endpoint** Target Vessel Failure at 1-Year Follow-up





#### Follow-up (days)



Events displayed in the graph (right lower corner) were calculated by Kaplan-Meier methods and compared with the log-rank test. Non-inferiority testing was based on chi-squared analysis (blue panel).





# Components of TVF at 1-Year Follow-up







TV-related MI: In each study group (Resolute Integrity and Promus Element), 3 patients (0.3%) developed a periprocedural MI (PMI) with max. CK levels ≥ 5x the ULN; all other PMI had max. CK levels < 5x the ULN. Events displayed in the graph were calculated by Kaplan-Meier methods and compared with the log-rank test. TV-related MI was defined by the extended historical definition (Vranckx et al. (ARC), EuroIntervention 2010;5:871-4)

CARDIOVASCULAR RESEARCH FOUNDATION



Composite Clinical Endpoints at 1-Year







Target Lesion Failure (TLF): cardiac death, target lesion-related MI & clinically indicated target lesion revascularization. Target Vessel Failure (TVF, the primary endpoint of the trial): cardiac death, target vessel related MI & clinically indicated target vessel revascularization. Major Adverse Cardiac Events (MACE): any death, any MI, clinically indiicated TLR & emergent CABG. Patient-Oriented Composite Endpoint (POCE): any death, any MI, any PCI & any CABG.

CARDIOVASCULAR RESEARCH FOUNDATION





#### **Medication at 1-Year**







Between stent arms, there was no significant difference in medication. Data on the use of antiplatelet drugs and/or oral anticoagulation were available in 1810 patients. ASA=acetylsalicylic acid; P2Y12 RI=P2Y12 receptor inhibitor; VKA=vitamin K antagonist; DAPT=dual antiplatelet therapy.







#### **Stent Thrombosis at 1-Year**







Definite stent thrombosis occurred in 3 patients (0.33 %) of the Resolute Integrity stent group and in 6 patients (0.66 %) of the Promus Element stent group (P = 0.51). There was no definitive stent thrombosis beyond 3 months. Events displayed in the graph were calculated by Kaplan-Meier methods and compared with the log-rank test. Stent thrombosis was defined according to the Academic Research Consortium (ARC).

CARDIOVASCULAR RESEARCH FOUNDATION



# **TVF Subgroup Analysis at 1-Year**



	Resolute Integrity n = 906	Promus Element n = 905		Relative Risk (95% Cl)	Р
Off-label indication	42/648 (6.5)	32/617 (5.2)	₽ <del>¦∎→</del>	1.25 (0.80-1.95)	0.33
RVD < 2.75mm	41/550 (7.5)	31/517 (6.0)	┝┼┳╌┥	1.24 (0.79-1.95)	0.34
Acute MI < 72 hr	11/285 (3.9)	6/258 (2.3)	┝┼┲─┥	1.66 (0.62-4.42)	0.31
Multivessel PCI	11/163 (6.7)	13/133 (9.8)	┝╾┲┼┥	0.69 (0.32-1.49)	0.34
Diabetes	10/167 (6.0)	12/157 (7.6)	│ <b>▶───────</b> ──	0.78 (0.35-1.76)	0.55
Overlapping stents	27/299 (9.0)	15/287 (5.2)	│ <b>└─</b> ■─┥	1.73 (0.94-3.18)	0.07
Bifurcation lesion	18/244 (7.4)	14/221 (6.3)	│ <b>┍₋┼┳</b> ₋₋┥	1.17 (0.59-2.29)	0.66
Lesion length > 27 mm	13/160 (8.1)	14/157 (8.9)	│ ⊢₄⊸∙	0.91 (0.44-1.88)	0.80
In-stent restenosis	2/27 (7.4)	4/28 (14.3)	   	0.52 (0.10-2.60)	0.67
Left main treated	1/19 (5.3)	1/21 (4.8)		1.11 (0.07-16.47)	1.00
Bypass graft treated	3/24 (12.5)	3/31 (9.7)		1.29 (0.29-5.84)	1.00
Renal insufficiency	6/35 (17.1)	3/28 (10.7)		1.60 (0.44-5.83)	0.47
All	55/905 (6.1)	47/905 (5.2)	│ <b>└</b> <b>└┼═╌┤</b>	1.17 (0.80-1.71)	0.47
			0.1 1.0 10 Resolute Integrity Promus Element		

RVD=reference vessel diameter. Subgroup analysis was non-prespecified.

tc



better

better







C. von Birgelen, Thoraxcentrum Twente, Enschede, Netherlands









- Angiograms of all patients were reviewed for stent deformation (LSD).
- LSD was defined as distortion or shortening of an implanted stent in the longitudinal axis following successful initial deployment.
- LSD was noted on angiograms of 9 patients of the Promus Element group and none of the Resolute Integrity group (9/905 vs. 0/906; p=0.002).
- In the Promus Element group, LSD was seen in 1/100 patients treated (1%) and in 0.6/100 Promus Element stents implanted (0.6%).
- LSD often triggered postdilation and implantation of additional stents, but was not associated with any adverse events.

Case	PDSL	Stent type	Diameter	Vessel	Lesion	Characteristics	Post-	Additional	Association with
							dilation	prox. stent	clinical event
Follow	Following attempts to re-cross stent								
1	0.94	Pr. Element	3.0 mm	LAD	С	bifurcation	+	+	none
2	0.83	Pr. Element	2.5 mm	RCA	С	severe calcification	+	+	none
3	0.74	Pr. Element	3.5 mm	LAD	С	bifurcation	+	+	none
4	0.79	Pr. Element	2.25 mm	LAD	С	bifurcation	-	+	none
Follow	Following very oversized postdilatation								
5	0.94	Pr. Element	2.25 mm	LAD	С	severe calcification	+	+	none
6	0.87	Pr. Element	3.5 mm	Left main	B2	bifurcation	+	-	none
Following contact with guiding or balloon catheter									
7	0.81	Pr. Element	2.5 mm	RCA	С	bifurcation	+	+	none
8	0.91	Pr. Element	3.0 mm	LAD	С	moderate calcification	+	+	none
9	0.84	Pr. Element	3.0 mm	RCA	C	severe calcification	+	_	none



PDSL means post-deployment stent length ratio, defined as final stent length divided by stent length immediately after deployment. Cases 2 and 4 are female patients. Lesion types were assigned according to ACC/AHA lesion classification. LAD=left anterior descending artery. LSD=longitudinal stent deformation. Pr.=Promus. RCA=right coronary artery.







### Conclusion



Use of third-generation zotarolimus-eluting Resolute Integrity stents and everolimus-eluting Promus Element stents in an "all-comers" population resulted in excellent clinical outcomes, especially in view of the large number of patients treated for acute myocardial infarction.

Efficacy and safety of the Resolute Integrity stent were similar to that of the Promus Element stent.





















## DUTCH PEERS Trial Organization

#### **Steering Committee**

C. von Birgelen, MD PhD (PI) P. Danse, MD PhD G.A.J. Jessurun, MD PhD

#### **Study Centers and Local PIs**

Thoraxcentrum Twente, Enschede C. von Birgelen, MD PhD
Scheper Hospital, Emmen G.A.J. Jessurun, MD PhD
Rijnstate Hospital, Arnhem P. Danse, MD PhD
Medical Center Alkmaar, Alkmaar R.W.M. Hautvast, MD PhD

#### Study Team Thoraxcentrum Twente

H. Sen, MD M.K. Lam, MD M.M. Löwik, PhD M.W.Z. Basalus, MD PhD K. Tandjung MD C. von Birgelen, MD PhD

#### Monitoring

CRO Diagram, Zwolle R. Dekker, RN



#### **Data Management**

CRO CardioResearch Enschede H.J.P. Verheij, RN R.E. van der Leest J. van Es, MD J.W. Louwerenburg, MD

#### Angiographic Analysis

Angiographic Core Lab at TC Twente I. Valkenburg, BSc J.C. Jonge Poerink, RN

#### **Clinical Event Adjudication**

CRO Cardialysis, Rotterdam P.P. Kint, RN W. Lindeboom, MSc Clinical Event Committee: P. Vranckx, MD PhD (chair) H.M. Garcia-Garcia, MD PhD J. Wykrzykowska, MD PhD

#### **Statistical Analysis**

K. Tandjung, MD C.J.M. Doggen, PhD

Investigator-initiated study, equally supported by Boston Scientific and Medtronic

Backup Slides



#### DUTCH PEERS (TWENTE II)







von Birgelen *et al.* Third-generation zotarolimus-eluting and everolimus-eluting stents in all-comer patients requiring a PCI (DUTCH PEERS). *Lancet* – in press. *Investigator-initiated study, equally funded by Boston Scientific and Medtronic* 









Longitudinal stent deformation (LSD) after very oversized postdilation of stents (bench top, unconstrained model)





lod. from: C. von Birgelen, presented at EuroPCR 2010 in Paris, France.



C. von Birgelen, Thoraxcentrum Twente, Enschede, Netherlands





LSD in distal stent + contact between guiding catheter tip and proximal stent



C. von Birgelen, Thoraxcentrum Twente, Enschede, Netherlands



LSD in the distal stent resulted from recrossing the distal stent with a balloon catheter.









C. von Birgelen, Thoraxcentrum Twente, Enschede, Netherlands





