One-Year Outcome of a Trial Comparing Second Generation Drug-eluting Stents Using Either Biodegradable Polymer or Durable Polymer

The NOBORI Biolimus-Eluting versus XIENCE/PROMUS Everolimus-eluting Stent Trial (NEXT)

Masahiro Natsuaki, MD

Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine

Ken Kozuma, MD; Takeshi Morimoto, MD, MPH; Kazushige Kadota, MD; Toshiya Muramatsu, MD, Yoshihisa Nakagawa, MD, Takashi Akasaka, MD; Keiichi Igarashi, MD; Kengo Tanabe, MD; Yoshihiro Morino, MD; Tetsuya Ishikawa, MD; Hideo Nishikawa, MD; Masaki Awata, MD; Masaharu Akao, MD; Hisayuki Okada, MD; Yoshiki Takatsu, MD; Nobuhiko Ogata, MD; Kazuo Kimura, MD; Kazushi Urasawa, MD; Yasuhiro Tarutani, MD; Nobuo Shiode, MD; and Takeshi KImura, MD

On behalf of the NEXT Investigators





Masahiro Natsuaki, MD

None.

Study Sponsor of the NEXT Trial

Terumo Japan

Background

The COMPARE II trial demonstrated non-inferiority of biolimuseluting stent (BES) relative to everolimus-eluting stent (EES) in terms of a composite of cardiac death, non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI) and clinically-driven target-vessel revascularization (TVR) at 1 year.

Kaplan-Meier Cumulative Event Curves for the Primary Endpoint at 1 year



Smits PC, et al. Lancet. 2013. Jan 29. Epub ahead of print.

Background

On the other hand, non-inferiority of BES relative to sirolimuseluting stent was not demonstrated in the SORT-OUT V trial in terms of a composite of cardiac death, MI, definite stent thrombosis and TVR at 9 months.

The results of these trials were inconsistent and it is still unknown whether the biodegradable polymer BES has the efficacy- and safety-profile equivalent to or even better than the durable polymer EES.



Christiansen EH, et al. Lancet. 2013. Jan 29. Epub ahead of print.

Nobori® Biolimus-eluting Stent

<u>Stent</u>

Nobori[®] biolimus-eluting stent is a stainless steel alloy stent with relatively thick strut (120µm).



Drug and polymer

Biolimus A9, a highly lipophilic analogue of sirolimus, and biodegradable polymer (poly-lactic acid) are coated only on the abluminal side.





Poly-lactic acid

NEXT Trial

(NOBORI Biolimus-Eluting versus XIENCE/PROMUS Everolimus-eluting stent Trial)

Multicenter, randomized, non-inferiority trial comparing BES with EES



Angiography (500 patients), IVUS/OCT (120 patients), Endothelial function (100 patients)

(Scheduled follow-up angiography by local site protocol was allowed beyond 240 days.)

Primary Endpoints and Sample Size Calculation

Primary Efficacy Endpoint: Any Target-lesion Revascularization (TLR) at 1 year

Primary Safety Endpoint:

Death or Myocardial Infarction at 3 years

Sample size calculation:

Estimated TLR rate at 1 year in the EES group: 6.9% Non-inferiority margin of 3.4% and one-sided type I error of 0.025 3000 patients would yield > 95% power to detect non-inferiority.

 A total of 3200 patients were to be enrolled considering possible drop-out during follow-up.

Angiographic Primary Endpoint and Sample Size Calculation

Primary Angiographic Endpoint:

In-segment Late Loss at 8-12 Months

Sample size calculation:

Estimated in-segment late loss in the EES group: $0.04 \pm 0.49 \text{ mm}$ (Cypher PMS Japan)

Non-inferiority margin of 0.195 mm (SPIRIT III trial) and one-sided type I error of 0.025

400 patients would yield 97% power to detect non-inferiority.

A total of 500 patients were to be enrolled considering possible dropout from the follow-up angiography.

NEXT Patient Flow



Baseline Patient Characteristics

	Biolimus- eluting stent	Everolimus- eluting stent	Р
No. of patients	1617	1618	
Age (years)	69.1 ± 9.8	69.3 ± 9.8	0.49
Age>= 75 years	31 %	34 %	0.052
Male gender	77 %	77 %	0.76
Body mass Index (kg/m ²)	24.1 ± 3.7	24.2 ± 3.5	0.55
Diabetes	46 %	46 %	0.85
Insulin-treated	10 %	11 %	0.73
Hypertension	81 %	82 %	0.81
Current smoker	19 %	18 %	0.71
Statin use	77 %	75 %	0.47
Prior PCI	50 %	51 %	0.9
Prior CABG	5.3 %	4.8 %	0.52

Baseline Patient Characteristics

	Biolimus- eluting stent	Everolimus- eluting stent	Р
No. of patients	1617	1618	
Clinical diagnosis			0.62
Acute myocardial infarction	5.1 %	4.5 %	
Unstable angina	12 %	11 %	
Stable coronary artery disease	83 %	84 %	
Prior myocardial infarction	28 %	28 %	0.81
Prior stroke	10 %	11 %	0.43
Heart failure	13 %	11 %	0.13
Hemodialysis	6.5 %	5.2 %	0.11
Peripheral vascular disease	9.7 %	11 %	0.1
Multivessel disease	51 %	51 %	0.9
SYNTAX score	<mark>10 (6-17)</mark> (N=1494)	<mark>10 (6-16)</mark> (N=1506)	0.17

Baseline Lesion Characteristics

	Biolimus- eluting stent	Everolimus- eluting stent	Р
No. of lesions	2059	2010	
Target vessel location			0.42
LMCA	2.4 %	2.3 %	
LAD	42 %	42 %	
LCx	22 %	24 %	
RCA	33 %	31 %	
Graft	0.7 %	0.9 %	
STEMI culprit lesions	3.0 %	2.9 %	0.88
Chronic total occlusion	8.6 %	7.9 %	0.39
In-stent restenosis	11 %	11 %	0.94
Bifurcation lesions	43 %	45 %	0.36
Reference vessel size <= 2.75 mm	60%	62%	0.25
Lesion length > 18 mm	43%	42%	0.51

Procedural Characteristics

	Biolimus- eluting stent	Everolimus- eluting stent	Р
No. of lesions treated per patient	1.27 ± 0.56	1.24 ± 0.51	0.1
No. of stents			
Per patient	1.59 ± 0.84	1.6 ± 0.83	0.74
Per lesion	1.29 ± 0.56	1.32 ± 0.6	0.13
Total stent length (mm)			
Per patient	33.0 ± 20.3	32.9 ± 20.7	0.87
Per lesion	26.9 ± 15.1	27.2 ± 16.5	0.52
Stent diameter (mm)	2.88 ± 0.67	2.87 ± 0.64	0.7
Direct stenting	23 % 23 %		0.93
Maximum inflation pressure (atm)	17.2 ± 4.5	16.9 ± 4.4	0.03
Bifurcation 2-stent	1.2 %	1.0 %	0.41
IVUS use	88%	87%	0.21
Multivessel treatment	13%	11%	0.21
Staged procedures	27%	27%	0.77

Baseline QCA Data

Variables — no. (%)	BES (1960 lesions)	EES (1930 lesions)	p-value
Before procedure			
Lesion length — mm	19.5 ± 12.8	19.3±13.1	0.7
Reference vessel diameter — mm	2.62 ± 0.6	2.61 ± 0.57	0.49
Minimal luminal diameter (MLD)— mm	0.77 ± 0.44	0.75 ± 0.42	0.11
Diameter stenosis (DS)— %	71.0 ± 14.6	71.4±14.6	0.4
After procedure			
Minimal luminal diameter (MLD) — mm			
In stent	2.51 ± 0.48	2.47±0.46	0.006
In segment	2.08 ± 0.56	2.07±0.53	0.7
Diameter stenosis (DS) — %			
In stent	9.7±7.9	10.0±7.9	0.26
In segment	22.2 ± 12.3	21.1±11.2	0.005
Acute gain — mm			
In stent	1.73±0.5	1.71±0.51	0.21
In segment	1.3 ± 0.53	1.32 ± 0.54	0.41

Procedural Results

Patient Success



Acute Device Success

Acute device success: Successful implantation of all the study stents attempted Patient success: Successful procedure without any major in-hospital complications

Procedural duration (min) : 72.6 \pm 43.5 vs. 71.3 \pm 43.4 (BES vs. EES, P=0.38)

Clinical Outcomes at 1-year

Target-Lesion Revascularization



Interval	0 day	30 days	180 days	240 days	365 days
BES group					
No. of patients with ever	nt	2	13	24	67
No. of patients at risk	1617	1607	1579	1556	1491
Cumulative Incidence		0.1%	0.8%	1.5%	4.2%
EES group					
No. of patients with ever	nt	2	12	26	66
No. of patients at risk	1618	1612	1578	1556	1497
Cumulative Incidence		0.1%	0.8%	1.6%	4.2%

Non-inferiority Assessment for the Primary Efficacy Endpoint

Target-Lesion Revascularization (TLR)







Proportion of Events

Adjudicated by the Angiographic Core Laboratory



All the angiograms of patients with TVR were to be analyzed by the angiographic core laboratory in an attempt to discriminate TLR from non-TLR TVR and to identify clinically-driven TLR.

Clinically-driven TLR



Interval	0 day	30 days	180 days	240 days	365 days
BES group					
No. of patients with ever	nt	2	13	19	47
No. of patients at risk	1617	1607	1579	1556	1491
Cumulative Incidence		0.1%	0.8%	1.2%	3.0%
EES group					
No. of patients with ever	nt	2	10	22	47
No. of patients at risk	1618	1612	1578	1556	1497
Cumulative Incidence		0.1%	0.6%	1.4%	3.0%

Follow-up angiography was performed in 2103 patients (65%) within 1-year.

Target-Vessel Revascularization



Interval	0 day	30 days	180 days	240 days	365 days
BES group					
No. of patients with ever	nt	4	23	40	105
No. of patients at risk	1617	1603	1553	1515	1368
Cumulative Incidence		0.3%	1.4%	2.5%	6.8%
EES group					
No. of patients with ever	nt	2	18	33	99
No. of patients at risk	1618	1608	1553	1518	1368
Cumulative Incidence		0.1%	1.1%	2.1%	6.5%

All-cause Death



Interval	0 day	30 days	180 days	240 days	365 days
BES group					
No. of patients with ever	nt	3	17	25	41
No. of patients at risk	1617	1608	1589	1577	1557
Cumulative Incidence		0.2%	1.1%	1.6%	2.6%
EES group					
No. of patients with even	nt	2	21	29	40
No. of patients at risk	1618	1614	1590	1581	1563
Cumulative Incidence		0.1%	1.3%	1.8%	2.5%

Myocardial Infarction



Interval	0 day	30 days	180 days	240 days	365 days
BES group					
No. of patients with even	t	45	49	51	53
No. of patients at risk	1617	1564	1544	1531	1508
Cumulative Incidence		2.8%	3.0%	3.2%	3.3%
EES group					
No. of patients with even	t	46	49	49	50
No. of patients at risk	1618	1570	1543	1535	1515
Cumulative Incidence		2.8%	3.0%	3.0%	3.1%

Definite Stent Thrombosis



Interval	0 day	30 days	180 days	240 days	365 days
BES group					
No. of patients with ever	nt	2	4	4	4
No. of patients at risk	1617	1607	1588	1576	1553
Cumulative Incidence		0.12%	0.25%	0.25%	0.25%
EES group					
No. of patients with ever	nt	1	1	1	1
No. of patients at risk	1618	1614	1589	1580	1561
Cumulative Incidence		0.06%	0.06%	0.06%	0.06%

Pre-specified Subgroup Analysis for TLR BES versus EES

Subgroups	N (BES/EES)		H.R. 95% CI.	Р	Interaction P
Diabetic Status					
Diabetes	(745/740)		0.89 (0.57-1.38)	0.59	0.36
Non-diabetes	(872/878)		1.23 (0.72-2.12)	0.46	
Insulin use					
DM insulin	(166/172)		0.61 (0.27-1.33)	0.22	0.26
DM non-insulin	(579/568)		1.05 (0.62-1.81)	0.85	
Elderly					
Age >= 75 years	(496/548)		1.11 (0.57-2.14)	0.76	0.74
Age < 75 years	(1121/1070)		0.97 (0.65-1.45)	0.89	
Hemodialysis					
Yes	(105/84)		0.6 (0.28-1.28)	0.19	0.16
No	(1512/1534)		1.1 (0.75-1.61)	0.64	
Multivessel PCI					
Yes	(207/184)	,	1.08 (0.5-2.39)	0.84	0.84
No	(1410/1434)	i	0.99 (0.68-1.45)	0.96	
		0.1 1.0 BES Better EES Bett	10 er		

Angiographic Outcomes at 8-12 months

Cumulative Distribution Function Curves of Late Loss





Non-inferiority Assessment for the Primary Angiographic Endpoint

In-segment Late Loss

BES 0.03 mm vs. EES 0.06 mm $P_{non-inferiority} < 0.0001$





Cumulative Distribution Function Curves of Late Loss



In-stent Late Loss

Follow-up QCA Data in Angiographic Sub-study

Variables — no. (%)	BES (295 lesions)	EES (293 lesions)	p-value
Follow-up at 8-12 months			
Binary restenosis — n (%)			
In segment	21 (7.1%)	22 (7.5%)	0.86
Location of restenosis— n (%)			0.17
Stent body	10 (48%)	6 (27%)	
Both edges	5 (24%)	5 (23%)	
Proximal edge	2 (9.5%)	4 (18%)	
Distal edge	4 (19%)	7 (32%)	
Restenosis pattern — n (%)			0.23
Focal	12 (57%)	17 (77%)	
Diffuse	6 (29%)	3 (14%)	
Total occlusion	3 (14%)	1 (4.6%)	
Proliferative	0	1 (4.6%)	
Stent fracture — n (%)	9 (3.1%)	0	0.004
Peri-stent contrast staining — n (%)	8 (2.7%)	4 (1.4%)	0.24

Limitations

- Despite the all-comers trial design, the actual study population mostly included patients with stable coronary artery disease.
- Actual 1-year rate of TLR was lower than expected due to less complex coronary anatomy, leading to a relatively large non-inferiority margin.
- High prevalence of follow-up angiography based either on the current study protocol or on the local site-protocols certainly inflated the rate of TLR.

Conclusions

- In this large scale randomized controlled trial, BES was demonstrated to be non-inferior to EES with respect to 1 year TLR rate and 8-12 months angiographic in-segment late loss.
- One-year clinical outcome after both BES- and EES-use was excellent with low rate of TLR and very low rate of stent thrombosis.
- Long-term follow-up of the biodegradable polymer BES compared with the durable polymer EES will provide crucial implications for the future development of metallic drug-eluting stents.

Participating Centers

Caress Sappro Tokeidai Memorial Hospital **Oji General Hospital** Cardio-vascular Center Hokkaido Ohno Hospital **Caress Sappro Hokko Memorial Hospital** Hokkaido Social Insurance Hospital Hokkaido Junkanki Hospital Teine Keijinkai Hospital Aomori Prefectural Central Hospital **Iwate Prefectural Central Hospital Iwate Medical University Hospital Tohoku Kousei Nenkin Hospital** Sendai Open Hospital Iwaki Kyoritsu General Hospital Fukushima Medical University Hospital Saiseikai Kurihashi Hospital Saitama Cardiovascular and Respiratory Center **Dokkyo Medical University Koshigaya** Hospital New Tokyo Hospital Juntendo University Hospital Sakakibara Memorial Hospital NTT Medical Center Tokyo The Cardiovascular Institute Hospital Mitsui Memorial Hospital Tokyo Medical University Hospital

Teikyo University Hospital Tokyo Women's Medical University Hospital Juntendo University Nerima Hospital Itabashi Chuo General Hospital Saiseikai Yokohama-city Eastern Hospital Kanto Rosai Hospital Yokohama Rosai Hospital **Tokai University Hospital** Yokohama City University Medical Center **Kitasato University Hospital** Kanazawa Cardiovascular Hospital University of Fukui Hospital Fukui Cardiovascular Center **Ogaki Municipal Hospital** Juntendo University Shizuoka Hospital Shizuoka General Hospital **Okamura Memorial Hospital** Seirei Hamamatsu General Hospital Hamamatsu Medical Center Aichi Medical University Hospital **Tosei General Hospital Toyota Memorial Hospital Fujita Health University Hospital** Japanese Red Cross Nagova Daini Hospital

Chubu Rosai Hospital Nagai Hospital Mie University Hospital Mie Heart Center Yokkaichi Social Insurance Hospital **Koto Memorial Hospital** Shiga University of Medical Science Hospital Kyoto University Hospital Mitsubishi Kyoto Hospital National Hospital Organization Kvoto Medical Center **Kvoto Second Red Cross Hospital Osaka University Hospital** Sakurabashi Waranabe Hospital Osaka City General Hospital Osaka Saiseikai Noe Hospital **Osaka City University Hospital Osaka Red Cross Hospital** National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center Sumitomo Hospital Higashisumiyoshi Morimoto Hospital **Bell Land General Hospital Kobe City Medical Center General** Hospital Kobe University Hospital Kansai Rosai Hospital Hyogo Prefectural Amagasaki Hospital Hvogo College of Medicine Hospital Tenri Hospital Japanese Red Cross Society Wakayama Medical Center Wakayama Medical University Hospital **Tottori University Hospital** Matsue Red Cross Hospital The Sakakibara Heart Institute of Okayama Kurashiki Central Hospital Kawasaki Medical School Hospital Hiroshima City Hospital Fukuvama Cardiovascular Hospital **Tsuchiya General Hospital** Iwakuni Clinical Center Chikamori Hospital Unversity Of Occupational and **Environmental Health Japan** Fukuoka Wajiro Hospital **Kurume University Hospital** Kokura Memorial Hospital Kouseikai Hospital Saiseikai Kumamoto Hospital National Hospital Organization Kumamoto Medical Center Kumamoto Rousai Hospital Mivazaki Medical Association Hospital Tenyokai Central Hospital

National Hospital Organization Kagoshima Medical Center