



Comparing zotarolimus-eluting and bare-metal stent efficacy in selected high bleeding risk patients treated with a short dual antiplatelet therapy duration.

A pre-specified analysis from the The Zotarolimuseluting Endeavor sprint stent in Uncertain DES candidates (ZEUS) study.

Presenter: Marco Valgimigli, MD PhD, FESC Erasmus MC, Thoraxcenter Rotterdam The Netherlands





Potential conflicts of interest

Speaker's name: Marco Valgimigli

☑ I have the following potential conflicts of interest to report:

Consultant: Abbott, CID (Carbostent & Implantable Devices), Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Medtronic, The Medicines Company

Speaker'sAbbott, Accumetrics, AstraZeneca,bureaus:Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lilly, IrokoPharmaceuticals, Terumo

Institutional grant/ research support:

Iroko Pharmaceuticals, Medtronic, Terumo, The Medicines Company





Background

 DES, instead of BMS use, remains controversial in patients at high bleeding risk (HBR) in whom long-term DAPT poses safety concerns.

 The zotarolimus-eluting Endeavor Sprint stent (E-ZES) is a hydrophilic polymer-based secondgeneration device with a unique drug fastrelease profile.



Am Heart J. 2013 Nov;166(5):831-8

Urgent or emergent coronary stenting in pts fulfilling ≥ 1 of the below:

High Bleeding Risk Need for OACs Previous Relevant Bleeding Age > 80 y/o Bleeding diathesis Known Anemia (Hb<10 gr/dl) Need for CCS or NSAID

High Thrombotic Risk Intolerance to ASA Intolerance to any P2Y₁₂

Planned surgery w/in 1 year Cancer-life expectancy >1 Y Pro-thrombotic diathesis Low Restenosis Risk

Planned stent ≥3.0 mm, apart from LMCA and SVG intervention or for ISR lesions

Rx: 1:1, Sx: inclusion criteria

1,606 pts, 20 sites in *Italy, Switzerland, Portugal* and Hungary from June 2011 to September 2012

Endeavor Sprint Zotarolimus-eluting Stent Thin-strut Bare Metal Stent

<u>Personalised DAPT duration</u>, i.e. modelled according to the patient clinical risk profile and <u>not</u> by stent type



ZEUS Study Design

Am Heart J. 2013 Nov;166(5):831-8

Urgent or emergent coronary stenting in pts fulfilling ≥ 1 of the below:

High Bleeding Risk Need for OACs Previous Relevant Bleeding Age > 80 y/o Bleeding diathesis Known Anemia (Hb<10 gr/dl) Need for CCS or NSAID

High Thrombotic Risk

Intolerance to ASA Intolerance to any P2Y₁₂ Planned surgery w/in 1 year Cancer-life expectancy >1 Y Pro-thrombotic diathesis

Low Restenosis Risk

Planned stent ≥3.0 mm, apart from LMCA and SVG intervention or for ISR lesions

DAPT: <u>30 days</u> DAPT: <u>None if</u> ASA/P2Y₁₂i intol. <u>Up to surgery</u> if planned <u>≥ 6 mos</u> in others DAPT: Stable CAD <u>30 days</u> ACS ≥ <u>6 mos</u>



Study Population





Bleeding events rate according to the presence of each HBR criterion



Bleeding events rate according to the presence of high bleeding risk criteria

Additive effect on bleeding outcomes with respect to the presence of only one or more than 1 HBR feature(s)



Baseline features according to high bleeding risk status

	High bleeding risk (N=828)	Others (N=778)
Age (yr.)	80.4 (72.4-84.2)	66.8 (58.8-74.1)*
Diabetes (%)	30.7	21.3*
Hypertension (%)	82.1	69.0*
Hyperlipidaemia (%)	50.9	46.4
Glomerular Filtration Rate <30 ml/min (%)	12.9	3.6*
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)	48 (40-55)	50 (45-60)*
Multivessel Disease (%)	67.8	51.4*
At least one complex (type B2 or C) lesion (%)	76.2	69.9**

*P<0.001 **P<0.05

Ischemic events rate according to the presence of high bleeding risk

Endpoints	HBR patients (N = 828)	No HBR patients (N = 778)	p-value
Death, MI or TVR	213 (25.7%)	105 (13.5%)	<0.001
Death	137 (16.5%)	44 (5.7%)	<0.001
Myocardial Infarction	57 (6.9%)	31 (4.0%)	0.006
Definite or Probable ST	36 (4.3%)	13 (1.7%)	0.002

After adjustment mortality risk remaind greater in HBR patients (adjusted-HR 1.56; 95% CI 1.06-2.28; p=0.024)

PCR 2015

Baseline characteristics in HBR patients according to stent type

	BMS Group (N=404)	E-ZES Group (N=424)
Age (yr.)	80.5 (72.3-84.4)	80.4 (72.8-84.9)
Diabetes (%)	29.0	32.3
Glomerular Filtration Rate <30 ml/min (%)	12.9	12.8
Left Ventricle Ejection Fraction	49 (40-55)	48 (40-55)
Multivessel Disease (%)	68.3	67.2
Number of Treated Lesions	1 (1-2)	1 (1-2)
Number of Stent Implanted	1 (1-2)	1 (1-2)
Total Stent Length (mm)	28 (18-46)	30 (18-44)
Dual Antiplatelet Therapy Duration (days)	31 (30-177)	30 (30-53)

Reasons for prolonging DAPT beyond 30 days included planned or unplanned procedures in de novo lesions —which were evenly distributed between stent groups— or need for reintervention in previously instrumented coronary segments, which explained the longer DAPT duration in the BMS group.



Primary Endpoint

Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events



Secondary Endpoints



euro

PCR

2015





Primary end-point in Zotarolimus-eluting versus Bare-Metal Stents according to presence of the single high bleeding risk criteria

2015



Clinical outcomes in Zotarolimus-eluting versus Bare-Metal Stents according to the absence or presence of a single or multiple HBR feature(s)

PR	presence of a single or multiple HBR feature(s)							
	Death, MI or TVR					HR (95% CI)	P-value	P-int
2015	No HBR		 			0.742 (0.503-1.093)	0.131	
	HBR 1					0.803 (0.588-1.097)	0.168	0.61
	HBR >1	_				0.586 (0.340-1.008)	0.053	
	Death or MI							
	No HBR					0.703 (0.431-1.145)	0.156	
	HBR 1					0.777 (0.550-1.097)	0.152	0.66
	HBR >1	∎				0.560 (0.314-0.999)	0.049	
	CV death or MI							
	No HBR					0.476 (0.263-0.859)	0.014	
	HBR 1					0.725 (0.495-1.060)	0.097	0.46
	HBR >1					0.610 (0.315-1.184)	0.144	
	MI					. ,		
	No HBR —		_			0.364 (0.163-0.813)	0.014	
	HBR 1					0.333 (0.167-0.662)	0.002	0.97
	HBR >1					0.314 (0.100-0.985)	0.047	
	TVR					0.01 (0.100 0.000)	01017	
	No HBR	_				0.563 (0.335-0.948)	0.031	
	HBR 1					0.510 (0.300-0.870)	0.013	0.93
	HBR >1					0.430 (0.130-1.430)	0.169	
	Stent thrombosis	_				,		
	No HBR					0.698 (0.314-1.554)	0.379	
	HBR 1					0.734 (0.431-1,251)	0.256	0.35
	HBR >1	-				0.280 (0.09-0.869)	0.028	
	BARC 2, 3 or 5	_						
	No HBR					- 1.062 (0.519-2.172)	0.870	
	HBR 1					0.650 (0.351-1.204)	0.171	0.53
	HBR >1					0.606 (0.259-1.417)	0.248	
		_						
	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0			
	<			2.0	>			
		E-ZES better		BMS bette	er			

PCR 2015

Ischemic end-points in Zotarolimus-eluting versus Bare-Metal Stents according to the presence of atrial fibrillation in high bleeding risk patients





Conclusion

Zotarolimus-eluting Endeavor Sprint stent as compared with bare metal stent **reduces**:

- major adverse cardiovascular events
- myocardial infarction
- target vessel revascularisation
- stent thrombosis

At 12-month follow-up in patients deemed at high bleeding risk and treated with an intended *30-day* short dual antiplatelet therapy regimen.