A Prospective, Randomized Trial of Sliding-Scale Hydration for Prevention of Contrast Nephropathy

> The POSEIDON (Prevention of Contrast Renal Injury with Different Hydration Strategies) trial

Somjot S. Brar, MD, MPH

on behalf of the POSEIDON investigators



ClinicalTrials.gov number: NCT01218828



Disclosures

I, Somjot S. Brar DO NOT have a financial interest/arrangement or affiliation with one or more organizations that could be perceived as a real or apparent conflict of interest in the context of the subject of this presentation.





Contrast Nephropathy

Common complication of contrast exposure associated with increased:

- Morbidity
- Mortality
- Cost

Hallmark of therapy is prevention yet preventive strategies remain limited.





Contrast Nephropathy Unknowns Regarding Hydration

Hydration, with normal saline (0.9% saline), remains the cornerstone of CN prevention, yet important questions remain:

- Rate of hydration?
- Duration of hydration?
- Uniform rate for everyone or can the rate be optimized to the patients needs?





Study Hypothesis Personalized Hydration for Prevention of Contrast Nephropathy

Does LVEDP guided hydration reduce the incidence of contrast induced acute kidney injury in patients undergoing coronary angiography or PCI?





LVEDP

Left Ventricular End Diastolic Pressure

- Hemodynamic parameter routinely measured in the cardiac cath lab
- Provides insight into volume status





Methods Investigator Initiated RCT

Masking: Single blind

Study period: November 2010 to July 2012

Population: Patients undergoing coronary angiography or PCI (inpatient & outpatient)

Location: High volume tertiary care center in Los Angeles, CA

Funding: Kaiser Permanente (KP-RCCL-5718)

Principal Investigator: Somjot S. Brar, MD, MPH





Methods Inclusion Criteria

Estimated GFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (by MDRD equation)

And at least one of the following:

- Diabetes mellitus
- Age > 75
- Hypertension (>140/90 or treatment)
- History of CHF





Methods Exclusion Criteria

- Pulmonary edema or acute decompensated heart failure
- Contrast exposure within 48 hours
- Severe valvular heart disease or mechanical aortic valve
- Heart or Kidney transplant status
- Primary PCI
- >15% change in serum creatinine in previous 2 days







Methods Sliding Scale Hydration Protocol

	LVEDP Guided Hydration	Standard Hydration	
Pre-procedure	3 mL/kg x 1 hr	3 mL/kg x 1 hr	
During procedure	LVEDP Rate <13	1.5 mL/kg/hr	
Post-procedure	Continued x 4hrs	Continued x 4hrs	

LVEDP assessed prior to contrast administration





Methods Additional Protocol Details

- LVEDP measured systematically using a pigtail catheter (prior to contrast administration).
- Ioxilan, a non-ionic, low-osmolar contrast medium used for all procedures.
- Power injector (Acist medical) used for contrast administration and measuring contrast volumes in 1mL increments.
- N-Acetylcysteine use at discretion of referring physician. If started, 2 day course was continued.





Methods Data Quality & Analysis

- Intention-to-treat analysis
- All events adjudicated (blinded to treatment allocation)
- Independent oversight & auditing
- Sample size: assumed an event rate of 18% in control and 8% in treatment; with an α 0.05 and β 0.20; 10% loss to follow-up; => ~390 patients.





Methods Study Flow



PERMANENTE

Regional Cardiac Cath Lab



Baseline Characteristics Demographics

	LVEDP Guided (n=196)	Control (n=200)	P-value
Age	71 ± 9	72 ± 8	0.14
Female	36%	41%	0.35
Race / Ethnicity			0.54
White	57%	57%	
Black	14%	14%	
Hispanic	9%	12%	
Asian	14%	15%	
Body Mass Index	30 ± 6	29 ± 6	0.27
Diabetes mellitus	52%	51%	0.76
Hypertension	98%	98%	0.49
Heart failure	11%	10%	0.54



POSEIDON

Baseline Characteristics

Laboratory Data & Medications

	LVEDP Guided (n=196)	Control (n=200)	P-value
Serum creatinine, mg/dL	1.4 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.3	0.87
Estimated GFR	48 ± 9	47 ± 9	0.39
Hemoglobin, g/dL	12.7 ± 1.8	12.7 ± 2.1	0.78
N-acetylcysteine	38%	37%	0.80
Beta blocker	79%	72%	0.13
ACE inhibitor / ARB	81%	77%	0.39
Diuretic, thiazide	20%	19%	0.63
Diuretic, loop	25%	22%	0.55



POSEIDON

Hemodynamics & Procedural Data

	LVEDP Guided (n=196)	Control (n=200)	P-value
LVEDP, mmHg	12 ± 7	12 ± 7	0.36
Systolic BP	136 ± 20	134 ± 21	0.40
Diastolic BP	69 ± 12	68 ± 13	0.49
Ejection fraction	56 ± 12	57 ± 11	0.60
Contrast volume, mL	105 (84-188)	111 (79-209)	0.73
Procedure dur., min	35 ± 23	37 ± 25	0.77
PCI	24%	32%	0.08
No. of stents	1.2 ± 0.6	1.3 ± 0.6	0.55
Acute coronary syn.	39%	45%	0.31



POSEIDON

Primary Endpoint

25% or 0.5 mg/dL increase in Serum Creatinine



Hydration Volume



PERMANENTE

Regional Cardiac Cath Lab



Primary Endpoint Components



>25% increase

0.5 mg/dL increase





Pre-Specified Subgroups Primary Endpoint

SUBGROUP			RR	95% CI	Pinteraction
Gender Female Male			0.54 0.32	0.25 – 1.16 0.10 – 0.96	0.44
Diabetes No Yes			0.12 0.54	0.02 – 0.92 0.54 – 1.07	0.17
<i>N-acetylcysteine</i> No Yes			0.47 0.34	0.21 – 1.05 0.11 – 0.99	0.64
<i>Contrast volume</i> < 100 mL ≥ 100 mL			0.64 0.54	0.26 – 1.58 0.27 – 1.06	0.74
	0.1	1 10			
	Favors LVEDP Guided	Favors Co	ontrol		
KAISER				DOSE	

Regional Cardiac Cath Lab

30-day MAE **Composite of Death, MI, & Dialysis**



Regional Cardiac Cath Lab

POSEIDON •

30-day MAE by Contrast Nephropathy (CN) status



Safety

IV hydration terminated in 6 patients or 1.5% of the full study cohort (3 patients in each group).

LVEDP values:

LVEDP guided	3, 7, 26 mmHg
Control group	3, 23, 31 mmHg

Reason for termination:

- Shortness of breath
- 2 patients treated with diuretics (1 LVEDP guided; 1 control group)





Conclusions Sliding Scale Hydration

- This is the first trial to test the hypothesis of a LVEDP guided hydration strategy for prevention of contrast nephropathy.
- LVEDP guided hydration resulted in a significant 59% relative and 10% absolute reduction in contrast nephropathy (p=0.005).
- In subgroup analyses, the treatment effect was consistently in favor of LVEDP guided hydration.





Conclusions Sliding Scale Hydration

- Easily implemented protocol that can be readily adopted in the outpatient and inpatient settings.
- Personalized strategy of sliding scale hydration guided by the LVEDP was safe. IV hydration was terminated in 1.5% of subjects.

Reaffirm, contrast nephropathy, as defined, is associated with a significant increase in MAE (p<0.001), including mortality (p=0.04) and dialysis (p<0.001) after cardiac catheterization.</p>







CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SPECIALTY SERVICES

KAISER PERMANENTE LOS ANGELES MEDICAL CENTER

Acknowledgements **POSEIDON team**

Somjot S. Brar, MD, MPH (PI) Vicken Aharonian, MD Prakash Mansukhani, MD Naing Moore, MD Albert Y-J Shen, MS, MD

Michael Jorgensen, MD Lindsay Short, BS Kevin Kane, BS



